From: Bailey, John

To: <u>Vickerson, Casey; Deardoff, Amy</u>

Subject: FW: C&H Hog Farms, Inc., NPDES Permit No. ARG 590001

**Date:** Tuesday, March 25, 2014 1:44:29 PM

From: Marks, Teresa

**Sent:** Saturday, March 22, 2014 3:34 PM

To: Bailey, John

Subject: Fwd: C&H Hog Farms, Inc., NPDES Permit No. ARG 590001

## Begin forwarded message:

From: Nancy Baxter < baxternan@aol.com > Date: March 22, 2014, 1:37:13 PM CDT

**To:** "Marks, Teresa" < <u>MARKS@adeq.state.ar.us</u>>

Subject: C&H Hog Farms, Inc., NPDES Permit No. ARG 590001



## 1308 West 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Little Rock, AR 72201 (501) 301-8280

March 24, 2014 Ms. Teresa Marks, Director Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

Email: Marks@adeq.state.ar.us

## RE: C&H Hog Farms, Inc., NPDES Permit Number ARG590001

## Director Marks:

As a concerned Arkansan and a member of the Sierra Club, I am extremely concerned about this issue. I have attended meetings where you claimed not to know anything about the permit that was untimely issued for the hog farm and was appalled at the lack of concern expressed by the entire environmental commission, whose mission is supposedly the protection of our remaining wilderness areas and the ecology of our state.

I am strongly opposed to the construction and operation of the C&H Hog Farms facility in the Buffalo River watershed area. Operation of a concentrated animal feeding operation in one of our nation's most important watersheds is unthinkable. The issues of public notice and the use of a general permit have

been raised and discussed thoroughly by others so Sierra Club will not rehash those points. Suffice it to say that a project of this magnitude in an area of such importance as the Buffalo River watershed should have been thoroughly discussed and hashed out publicly. As you have seen since the facility was permitted, the public is keenly interested in and opposed to such a facility in this area.

However, if the facility is to be permitted, it should be held to the most rigorous and thorough environmental standards possible. The consequences of leaks and discharges of swine waste from this CAFO would be catastrophic and disrupt an area that is truly a national and state treasure.

With regard to the proposed nutrient management plan, I wish to raise the issue of proximity of the facility to the Mt. Judea community. Field 7 of the facility is located within 250 feet of the Mt. Judea school grounds and is within 1100 feet of the school buildings themselves, in addition to being within a few hundred feet of at least two residences. I am deeply concerned that the resulting exposure of school children and elderly Arkansans to swine waste is particularly dangerous.

ADEQ should require that a comprehensive air quality monitoring station be installed at the Mt. Judea School. This station should monitor for ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, airborne particulates and other components of swine waste known to be hazardous to human health. Results of air monitoring would be made regularly available to the public. Because of the close proximity of the Mt. Judea school to field 7, the school principal should be notified in advance of any field applications so that appropriate measures can be taken to minimize exposure of students and staff.

Field 7 should be included as part of the Big Creek Research Study. The research team has identified it as a high use and representative field and initially it was included on their list of preferred study fields. Pursuant to the Memorandum of Agreement, ADEQ has the responsibility to "Assist the University with obtaining access to conduct the study..." Therefore ADEQ should facilitate the inclusion of field 7 in the Big Creek study. Studies should include pre-application dye-testing, ground-penetrating radar study, groundwater monitoring, and surface water testing in adjacent Big Creek.

Again, I wish to express my strong opposition to the project. The dangers to the Buffalo River watershed area—both environmentally and in terms of future tourism--are far too high to justify any benefits from a swine farm. To say that it contributes to the economy of the area is laughable, as it has produced only six new jobs. Its only contribution is to the pockets of Cargill and the owners of the farm, and the dangers it presents in terms of health hazards and pollution of the river far outweigh these concerns. If this facility is to be allowed to continue operating, the ADEQ should hold it to the very highest and strictest environmental standards possible. Ideally, the permit should be revoked.

Sincerely,

Nancy L. Baxter 3804 Lookout Road North Little Rock, Arkansas 72116